



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,262	02/27/2002	Osvaldo Santora	740-001	4186
75	590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD G. FRAYNE			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
Suite 7A 136 Drum Point Road			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3637	
Brick, NJ 08723				
			DATE MAII ED: 12/22/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/083,262	SANTORA, OSVALDO				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637	(-7)			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 O	ctober 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and it is a second of the transfer of the tran	- 102)			

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For claim 1, it is unclear what the scope of the claim is, i.e. whether or not the counter top is part of the invention along with the support accessory. The preamble of the claim is directed to only the support accessory ("support accessory cooperable with a counter top") while the body of the claim positively claims both the accessory and counter top ("said lower edge being in contact with said counter top surface"). The combination is being considered the claimed subject matter. Also for claim 1, "the vertical edge" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amann (EP 587059) in view of Palaith. Amann teaches a support accessory (11) cooperable with a counter top comprising: a plurality of vertically oriented support members (11a,11b) and a support surface (11, horizontal member) unitary with the

Application/Control Number: 10/083,262 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

support members. One of the support members (11b) has a lower edge which extends downwardly beyond the lower edge of the adjacent support members. Two of the support members (11a) form side walls about the periphery of the support surface; the support surface extending coextensively between these members. For claim 1, Amann fails to teach that the accessory is on a counter top and for claim 5, that its support members include inwardly facing flanges. First, Palaith teaches an accessory (7) located on a counter top (20) to provide a raised product surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the accessory of Amann on a different type of support, e.g. on the counter top of Palaith instead of on a shelf (2), since the accessories of Palaith and Amann are functional equivalents and either would work equally well on the counter top of Palaith for providing a raised surface thereon for better viewing, for specifically sized article placement, etc. The accessory of Amann further providing a (removable) rack means for holding articles thereon and could inherently be sized so that its front edge (11b) is positioned juxtaposed against the counter top's front edge. Please note that the intended use of the structure is not given weight in the claims; therefore, the limitations concerning the pizza peel, pizza handling, etc. have not been given weight. Furthermore, the size of the accessory is not claimed; the horizontal surface of Amann being "capable" of supporting numerous items (with or without the rack thereon) including a peel in a horizontal orientation.

Second (for claim 5), Palaith further teaches support members with inwardly facing flanges (18 and 16 face inwardly toward each other and 42 and 40 face inwardly

Art Unit: 3637

toward each other). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the accessory of Amann by adding inwardly facing flanges on its support members, for stability purposes.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,262 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (703) 308-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703) 308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilkens December 18, 2004

JANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINED

A 3637